
STATE OF MINNESOTA

IN SUPREME COURT

45721



MODIFIED ORDER PROMULGATING
UNIFORM FORMS FOR USE IN
CONCILIATION COURTS IN
CERTAIN COUNTIES

WHEREAS, the Supreme Court is authorized by statute to promulgate uniform forms for use in conciliation courts, and

WHEREAS, by Order dated October 23, 1978, the Supreme Court has promulgated forms for this purpose, and

WHEREAS, it is the purpose of the Supreme Court to improve upon the forms currently in use by allowing for the evaluation of a revised form to be used in certain counties for a period of time,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the attached forms be prescribed as the uniform summons and complaint forms for use in the conciliation courts of the following counties through January 1, 1982:

Anoka County;

Blue Earth County;

Brown County;

Carlton County;

Clay County;

Faribault County;

Houston County;

Lac qui Parle County;

Lyon County;
Olmsted County;
Roseau County;
St. Louis County;
Waseca County;
Watonwan County; and
Wright County.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the summons and complaint forms for conciliation courts promulgated by Order of this Court dated October 23, 1978, shall be used in conciliation courts in counties other than those specified above until further Order of this Court.

Dated: 10-6-81.

BY THE COURT

James C. Otis Acting Chief Justice

	linnesota			Conciliation C	
				NO	
NAME AND ADDRESS			NAME AND ADDRESS	S	
		PLAINTIFF			
	Z1P				ZIP
	vs.	······································		vs.	
NAME AND ADDRESS			NAME AND ADDRESS	S	
		DEFENDANT			
		W2			
	/IP				ZIP
Name		Ti	tle		
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME ON DATE.	NOTARY SIGNATURE AND STAMP / DE	PUTY CLERK	THE ABOVE STATEMENT MY KNOWLEDGE.	NT OF CLAIM IS TRUE AND COR	RRECT TO
	NOTARY SIGNATURE AND STAMP / DE	PUTY CLERK	THE ABOVE STATEMEN MY KNOWLEDGE.	NT OF CLAIM IS TRUE AND COR	RECT TO
	NOTARY SIGNATURE AND STAMP / DE	PUTY CLERK	MY KNOWLEDGE.	NT OF CLAIM IS TRUE AND COR	TEL
BEFORE ME ON DATE.	THE STATE OF MINNESO	TA TO THE	SIGN	D DEFENDANT	TEL
You are hereby sum	THE STATE OF MINNESO	TA TO THE	SIGN ABOVE NAME e entitled case at	IATURE D DEFENDANT TIME	TEL
You are hereby sum	THE STATE OF MINNESO moned to appear at the hearin at	TA TO THE	SIGN ABOVE NAME e entitled case at	D DEFENDANT TIME Clerk	TEL
You are hereby sum	THE STATE OF MINNESO amoned to appear at the hearing at at at	TA TO THE	SIGN ABOVE NAME e entitled case at PLACE, Minnesota	D DEFENDANT TIME Clerk Deputy	TEI
You are hereby sum Date Dated: Appearances:	THE STATE OF MINNESO moned to appear at the hearing, atatatatatonsidering the evidence introdu	TA TO THE ag of the above	SIGN ABOVE NAME e entitled case at place , Minnesota Neither Party	D DEFENDANT TIME Clerk Deputy Contested	TEL
You are hereby sum Date Dated: Appearances: After hearing and cosentitled to judgme plus costs of \$	THE STATE OF MINNESO amoned to appear at the hearing, at	dant aced, the Cour	SIGN ABOVE NAME e entitled case at PLACE, Minnesota Neither Party	D DEFENDANT TIME Clerk Deputy Contested in the sum of \$ for a total of \$	TEL
You are hereby sum Date Dated: Appearances: After hearing and cos entitled to judgme plus costs of \$	THE STATE OF MINNESO moned to appear at the hearing, atatatatatonsidering the evidence introdu	dant dant ments of \$ Jud ment. Cler	ABOVE NAME. e entitled case at PLACE, Minnesota Neither Party t finds that the ge:k	D DEFENDANT TIME Clerk Deputy Contested in the sum of \$ for a total of \$	TEL
You are hereby sum DATE Dated: Appearances: After hearing and cos entitled to judgme plus costs of \$	THE STATE OF MINNESO amoned to appear at the hearing, at	dant dant ced, the Cour ments of \$ Jud ment. Cler Dep f the Cler	ABOVE NAME. e entitled case at	D DEFENDANT TIME Clerk Deputy Contested in the sum of \$ for a total of \$	TE

WHITE-ORIGINAL

OF JUDGMENT